Genetic parentage reconstruction as a practical tool applied to aquaculture and restoration programs for the European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis*

Homère J. Alves Monteiro¹,*, Camille Saurel², Maj-Britt Jacobsen¹, Jakob Hemmer-Hansen¹ and Dorte Bekkevold¹

¹ National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Silkeborg, Denmark, Vejlsøvej 39, 8600, Silkeborg, Denmark
² National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Danish Shellfish Centre, Øroddevej 80, 7900 Nykøbing Mors, Denmark

Received 13 June 2022 / Accepted 12 October 2022

Handling Editor: Pierre Boudry

Abstract – Preserving and maximizing genetic diversity in conservation programs, including for restocking, are of high importance. The threatened European flat oyster (*Ostrea edulis*) is currently the subject of several applied conservation and restocking programs, but concerns have been raised over potential negative side effects of these programs, for example due to our limited knowledge about the genetic effects in natural populations of releasing offspring of hatchery origin. Here, we developed an effective, easily applicable and highly reliable method to assess the genetic diversity and parental contributions in flat oyster hatchery production based on analyses of 17 microsatellite loci. We analysed four broodstocks and their hatchery-reared spat (total n = 354) and compared diversity to that in wild samples of adults and spat from the broodstock source in the Limfjorden (total n = 138). Based on four hatchery tank experiments with fully resolved parentage assignments, we found that five swarming events (larval releases) were characterized by a single maternal and multiple paternal contributions, and that the number of contributing parents varied greatly both among individual tanks, and between swarming events within tank. On average, the effective number of breeders was only one third of the actual broodstock size. Although the broodstock exhibited high genetic variation, the high reproductive skew resulted in produced offspring representing only a relatively small subset of this variation. The work demonstrates potential impact of hatchery reared offspring on decreasing genetic diversity in wild populations, but also that genetic monitoring can be integrated in conservation programs to minimize negative effects on restoration and supplementary restocking programs that utilize hatchery reared spats to support natural populations.
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1 Introduction

An important goal in endangered species conservation programs and the reintroduction of locally depleted or extirpated populations is preserving and maximising genetic diversity. Stock enhancement of marine invertebrate species has been reported to be successful in terms of boosting short- to medium-term census population size, e.g. in Japanese scallop, *Mizuhopecten yessoensis* (Kitada 2020). However, in spite of potential effects on long-term success (Reed and Frankham, 2003; Weeks et al., 2011), there is generally little information about levels of genetic diversity represented in stocking material of marine invertebrates. The prediction is that using a (small) broodstock with limited genetic variation to replenish local populations will accelerate rates of inbreeding and loss of genetic variation, reducing the long-term fitness of a population (Ryman and Laikre, 1991). Modelling analyses demonstrate potentially severe effects of failing to maximise genetic diversity in stocking material used to re-establish or replenish local populations (Waples et al., 2016), and genetic properties should hence always be taken into consideration in programs for marine stock enhancement, not least in bivalve keystone species with strong small-to-large scale impact on nutrient cycling, habitat stabilisation, food-webs and...
providing ecosystems services. Although census population size, \( N \), and level of genetic variation commonly are very large under wild conditions, the genetically effective size, \( N_e \), of marine bivalve populations may be significantly reduced by strong reproductive skew among individuals in combination with recurring ‘sweepstakes’ effects (Hedgecock et al., 2007). Under a ‘sweepstakes’ scenario, the genetic variation propagated across generations is strongly affected by relatively few reproductive “winners” and many “losers”. This leads to a very small and varying \( N_e/N \) ratio (Hedgecock and Pudovkin, 2011), making it difficult to predict population levels of genetic variation from census size. Supplementation of a small, genetically depleted natural population by genetically diverse hatchery-reared spats (i.e., juvenile settled oysters) as a form of “genetic rescue” is generally expected to have beneficial effects (Frankham, 2015). However, to be successful, all types of supra-supplementations require comprehensive guidelines for genetic management, which is lacking for most of the depleted bivalve species, including the European flat oyster (\( O. edulis \) L.).

\( O. edulis \), commonly called flat oyster or native European oyster, is a sessile bivalve mollusc in the Ostreidae family. The species is endemic to the European coasts and can live on various substrate types at depths down to \( \sim 50 \) m. \( O. edulis \) beds provide numerous important ecosystem services and have been included in the OSPAR list of endangered and/or declining species since 2003 (https://www.ospar.org/documents?n=7183). This has resulted in several recent initiatives of conservation and restoration projects in Europe (Pogoda et al., 2019). \( O. edulis \) abundance decreased dramatically in the 20th century, so much so, that the concept of a shifting ecosystem baseline, also known for several important fisheries (Pauly, 1995), could be applied for the species (Pogoda et al., 2019). Many historical flat oyster populations are now extinct or highly depleted (Berghahn and Ruth, 2005; Lotze, 2005; Thurstan et al., 2013). The decline in abundance is the direct result of long-lasting overfishing coupled with, more recently, disease outbreaks (Grizel, 1985; Harrang, 2013; Harrang et al., 2015). In several places, the small remnants of \( O. edulis \) populations are fragmented and at risk of extinction due to inbreeding depression (Beck et al., 2011). Numerous, partially unregulated, translocations of flat oysters have occurred between European countries to support production (Bromley et al., 2016), which have increased the spread of protozoan parasites \( Bonamia ostreae \) and \( Marteilia refringens \) in natural populations (Comps et al., 1980; Sas et al., 2020). Hence \( O. edulis \) is at risk from genetic, biotic, as well as abiotic stressors (elevated sea temperature and oceans acidification, see Kamermans and Saurel, 2022), that could further reduce the numbers of last wild populations.

In response to dwindling oyster populations, the last decade saw revised restoration strategies for reintroducing the species in severely depleted sea beds using various spat production systems: hatchery with limited numbers of breeders per tank \( (n < 50) \), ponds with large numbers of breeders per tank \( (n > 100) \) and spat collectors in the wild (see Fariñas-Franco et al., 2018). Several knowledge gaps challenging restoration efforts have been identified with respect to reproductive biology and the transmission of genetic variation through hatchery production (Colsoul et al., 2021, 2020). For example, control of genetic diversity and inbreeding are difficult to achieve in hatchery operations due to potential uneven parental contribution. As a result, there is scarce information about how various hatchery procedures affect genetic variation among produced spats.

Parentage assignment with genetic markers can provide information on the reproductive skew and the magnitude of cross-fertilization in aquaculture broodstocks (Lallias et al., 2010a; Varney and Wilbur, 2020) and has also been used to demonstrate inbreeding depression (depressed growth) in response to increasing relatedness levels in hatchery broodstock selected for \( Bonamia \) resistance (Naciri-Graven et al., 1999). \( O. edulis \) parentage contribution for wild and hatchery-reared progeny has traditionally been assessed using a limited number \( (n < 6) \) of genetic markers that tend to show high frequencies of non-amplifying alleles (“null alleles”; Hedgecock et al., 2007; Lallias et al., 2010a, 2010b). The presence of ‘null alleles’ is a commonly reported challenge in bivalves (Gonzalez-Wanguemert et al., 2014; Hedgecock et al., 2007; Reece, 2004), and could introduce errors into empirical assessments of biological parentage and mating systems also in \( O. edulis \) by means of incorrect parentage exclusions (e.g. incur substantial biases on average exclusion probabilities; see Dakin and Avise, 2004). However, even with recently improved access to genomic resources (Gutierrez et al., 2017), microsatellite marker-based parental reconstruction is still the most commonly applied method, being cheap and quick, with numerous microsatellites markers available (Lallias et al., 2009; Launey, 2002; Naciri et al., 1995; Vera et al., 2015).

This study aims to address identified knowledge gaps related to transfer of genetic variation in flat oyster hatchery production for restocking and aquaculture (Colsoul and Nascimento-Schulze et al., 2021) by experimentally assessing parentage under controlled hatchery conditions. In hatchery production, reproductive success is expected to be equal among broodstock individuals through common hatchery conditioning (Reynaga–Franco et al., 2020). However, the validity of this assumption is likely to vary in connection with several factors. As part of the new strategy implemented in the Danish \( O. edulis \) hatchery to produce parasite \( Bonamia \) free spat and preserve high genetic diversity, we developed and tested an effective and easily applicable method to assess reproductive skew and genetic diversity in \( O. edulis \) hatchery produced spat. Four broodstocks of varying number were constructed using wild adult oysters collected from two localities in the Limfjorden (Denmark). We applied microsatellite marker-based parental reconstruction in combination with genetic diversity analysis based on a suite of estimators (allelic richness, heterozygosity, relatedness, \( N_e \), and effective number of breeders \( N_{eb} \)) to determine genetic parameters in offspring produced in five separate swarming events. Secondly, we compared genetic diversity in hatchery-produced spat with estimates from wild population samples, including from natural settlement on a spat collector. Finally, we use these results to provide recommendations for hatchery practice.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Biological material and experiments.

The Danish Shellfish Centre at DTU Aqua dredged flat oysters for broodstock in 2020 at two locations, Nissum and Løgstør, considered to belong to a single natural population (Nielsen and Petersen, 2019) in the Limfjorden, Denmark.
(Fig. 1a). Fifty-two adult flat oysters were selected for broodstock by phenotypic features indicative of maturity and general good quality (based on length, width, total fresh weight (Supplementary notes: Hatchery’s procedures, broodstock conditioning) and of being pathogen-free (B. ostreae and Marteilia refringens). In the hatchery, they were distributed among four separate experimental reproduction tanks as follows:

- a large broodstock tank: 29 parents from Løgstør (‘Large’), 400L April 2020.
- a small broodstock Tank 1: 6 parents from Løgstør (‘Small 1’), 24L April 2020.
- a small broodstock Tank 2: 7 parents from Løgstør (‘Small 2’), 24L April 2020.
- a small broodstock Tank 3: 10 parents from Nissum (‘Small 3’), 100L March 2020.

Small tanks were named taking into consideration the broodstock size: from ‘Small 1’ with the least individuals to ‘Small 3’ with the most individuals. This design allowed a comparison between parentage contribution patterns within and among relatively large (‘Large’) and relatively small (‘Small 1–3’) broodstocks. For O. edulis, the release of male and female gametes is defined as the spawning event. The subsequent fertilization is internal: oocytes positioned in the female pallial cavity are fertilized by inhaled spermatozoa (His et al., 1999). After fertilisation, embryos are incubated internally, before being released from the female oyster as planktonic larvae 6–10 days later. The term “spawning” is used for gamete release, and larval releases are commonly referred to as “swarming” events (Coulson et al., 2021; His et al., 1999). Five swarming events occurred and were sampled for analysis: respectively, two in ‘Large’ (i.e., “Large sp1” in June and “Large sp2” in July 2020), and one in each of ‘Small 1–3’ (respectively in July 2021, June 2020 and May 2020, Fig. 1b and c, Supp. Fig. 1). All released larvae were collected using a mesh net of 80–180 μm (see details Supp. notes: Hatchery’s procedures, broodstock conditioning). Subsamples of each swarming event were taken to produce spat (juveniles that have reached the substrate settlement stage). All broodstock and random subsets of ~48 offspring per swarming event were sampled for genotyping (Tab. 1). We inferred an instance of sex reversion between two separate swarming events in the Large tank (see Results). To explore temporal development in parentage patterns further we sampled twice the number of broodstock (~99) from the second swarming event (Tab. 1). Tissue samples consisted of minute gill biopsies for adults, and total tissue for spat (directly stored in absolute ethanol after sampling until processing). To examine the developed genotyping approach for analyses of larval (individuals of approximately 120 μm, i.e., small input volume tissues), we also analysed material from two additional hatchery setups from 2019, where 24 broodstock from Nissum and small larvae collected on their first day of swimming (24 larvae for both setups, Supp. Tab. 1) were sampled and genotyped for parentage assignment.

To compare genetic parameters between hatchery produced spat and the wild broodstock donor population, and hereunder from a natural settling event, we analysed three additional samples: 66 and 42 adult oysters of different size classes were collected from, respectively, Løgstør and Nissum, and from Nissum, 30 newly settled spat were collected using a traditional “Chinese hats” spat collector (van den Brink et al., 2020) deployed by Oyster Boat Aps company. The devices were deployed in June 2018, and spat settled on it were collected for genetic analysis. We hypothesized that these spat were the result of a natural swarming season at this given location, spreading from mid-June to mid-July 2018.

### 2.2 Microsatellite genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from individuals using 15 mg of gill tissue from adults, entire larvae, and entire soft tissue (without the shell) for the spat. Except for larvae, we used the E.Z.N.A. DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA) for DNA extractions, diluting DNA products at 50 μl. Given their small volume, individual larval samples were separated under a dissection microscope and placed in individual tubes. Several DNA-extraction protocols (e.g., using short-term exposure to liquid nitrogen to break larval membranes, centrifugation with ceramic beads, decreased elution volume) were compared to produce adequate DNA yield (details available upon request). Maximal DNA concentrations (5.73–452 ng/μl) were obtained with the NucleoSpin Tissue XS kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Nineteen species-specific microsatellites markers were selected from the literature (Lallias et al., 2009; Launey, 2002; Naciri et al., 1995; Vera et al., 2015). One additional marker was developed for the current study, designed on the first scaffold of the species’ unpublished genome (Pers. Comm. A. Tanguy) using the Primer-Blast (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/) and Primer3 tools (https://primer3.ut.ee/). The twenty markers were first tested on subsets of adults, larvae, and spat using a Qiagen® Multiplex PCR protocol for amplification of microsatellite loci (Supp. Tab. 2). Polymerase chain reactions were conducted in volumes of 12.5 μl, with an annealing temperature of 57°C for all loci. Three markers exhibited evidence of large heterozygote deficiencies during screening and were discarded. All individuals (collections from the wild, hatchery broodstock, larvae, and spat) were then genotyped for the remaining seventeen microsatellites contained in four multiplexes. PCR products were analysed utilizing GeneScan® 500 Liz Size Standard in a SeqStudio Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and genotypes were called in GeneMapper v2.4. Each microsatellite was calibrated and tested on ~50 of the wild oyster collections (Nissum and Løgstør). To assess the reliability of genotyping, five per cent of the samples, covering 11 broodstock, and 13 spat, including spat from parental sex reversions (Results), were PCR amplified and genotyped twice. Thirteen hatchery-reared spat with more than three missing genotypes across the 17 loci were discarded from the analysis (2.6% of 492 genotyped individuals).

### 2.3 Genetic diversity and differentiation

Number of alleles (Na), percentage missing genotypes and test for departures from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in each sample (1000 replicates for the Monte Carlo procedure) were assessed using GenAlEx for each sample
Fig. 1. Hatchery setting and breeding pairs. (a) Flat oyster sampling locations in the Limfjorden connected to the North Sea in west and to the Kattegat in east. Coloured symbols indicate locations for collections of broodstock at Løgstør (green), Nissum (blue), and for the natural settling event (‘Natural settlement’, purple). (b and c) Experimental set-up in four parental broodstock tanks (‘Small 1-3’ in panel (b) and ‘Large’ with two separates swarming events analysed in panel (c)), and offspring from the five analysed swarming events. For individual tanks, hexagons show broodstock sizes and origins (green = Løgstør, blue = Nissum). For individual swarming events, each coloured segment of the chord diagram represents a paternal full-sib family, and the thickness of the chord represents the number of offspring identified, as shown by peripheral tick-marks. Individual swarming collections all had one single dam, the label of which is in bold. Two instances of sex reversion (one dam to sire and one sire to dam) in parental breeders are indicated by the pink label symbol “R”. Sires contributing to two consecutive swarming events are indicated by the blue label “C”. Tags inside chord diagrams give the total number of observed breeders (dam and sires) as well as the number of effective breeders $N_e$. 
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Table 1. *O. edulis* samples in analyses, genetic diversity indices, and estimates of effective population size. All sampled individuals were spats (i.e. larval samples are not included, see text), except for ‘Løgstør’, ‘Nissum’ and the broodstocks (Large broodstock, Small1 broodstock, Small 2 broodstock, Small 3 broodstock) which were adults of varying size/age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection Date</th>
<th>Life-stage</th>
<th>Swarming event date</th>
<th>Total number of individuals (n)</th>
<th>Mean observed heterozygosity (<em>H</em>o)</th>
<th>Mean Wright's fixation index (<em>F</em>is)</th>
<th>Mean allelic richness (<em>A</em>r)</th>
<th>Population effective size, LD method (<em>N</em>e)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval lower limit (<em>N</em>e_95%_CI_Lower)</th>
<th>95% confidence interval upper limit (<em>N</em>e_95%_CI_Upper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural settlement</td>
<td>Wild spats</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>189.3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>412.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Løgstør 09/03/2020</td>
<td>Wild adults</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1366.4</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nissum 02/03/2020</td>
<td>Wild adults</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>3829.6</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large broodstock</td>
<td>Hatchery</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1646.4</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 1 broodstock</td>
<td>Hatchery</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 2 broodstock</td>
<td>Hatchery</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 3 broodstock</td>
<td>Hatchery</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1555.3</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large sp1 13/08/2020</td>
<td>Hatchery-reared spats</td>
<td>13/06/2020</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large sp2 13/08/2020</td>
<td>Hatchery-reared spats</td>
<td>15/07/2020</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>–0.22</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 1</td>
<td>Hatchery-reared spats</td>
<td>17/06/2021</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>–0.11</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 2</td>
<td>Hatchery-reared spats</td>
<td>16/07/2020</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>–0.25</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small 3</td>
<td>Hatchery-reared spats</td>
<td>16/05/2020</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>–0.09</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
individuals (Da Silva et al., 2009; Maneiro et al., 2020). We were male and female gametes can be observed simultaneously within produced by each dam-sire pair per swarming event and used parent-pair, was the sire. We calculated the numbers of progeny (Results) was the dam, and the other contributing individual of the parent producing all offspring within an individual swarm (see (Fig. 1b and 1c).

We assessed the number of offspring needed to produce reliable estimates of the total number of sib-ship families produced per swarming event by plotting the cumulative number of identified full-sib families as a function of numbers of analysed spats (N), using parentage data from the Large broodstock, which contained the largest number of potential parents (n = 29). The value of N, where the curve flattened, gave a visual indication of whether enough offspring were genotyped to encounter most sib-ship families produced in one swarming event (Supp. Fig. 2).

2.5 Effective number of breeders

The effective number of breeding males per swarming event was calculated with a method modified from Ardren and Kapuscinski, (2002):

\[ N_{bm} = \frac{(N_m k_m - 1) / \bar{k}_m + (\sigma_{k_m}^2 / \bar{k}_m)}{}, \]

where \( N_{bm} \) is the effective number of males in a swarming event, \( k \) represents the number of progeny produced by an individual and \( N_m \) represent the number of contributing males in a swarming event (Figs. 1b and 1c). As all swarming events were inferred to be produced by a single dam (see Results), the effective number of breeders, \( N_{br} \) was estimated as \( N_{bm} + 1 \).

2.6 Relatedness

Sample specific relatedness estimates were determined using COANCESTRY (version 1.0.1.1021 (Wang, 2011) and the Lynch and Ritland estimator (Lynch and Ritland, 1999). First, the allele frequencies in wild collections (Nissum and Løgstør, \( n = 108 \)) were used to bound the estimation of relatedness parameters for each hatchery swarming event and the “Natural settlement” samples. If an allele present in a swarming event was not detected in the wild, it was given an arbitrary frequency of 0.001. Mean relatedness coefficients per sample and their 95% confidence intervals were estimated with the 1000 bootstraps procedure. Pairwise-Wilcoxon signed rank tests were performed for each sample pair to test whether the mean relatedness differed. For each swarming event, marker-based relatedness estimates were compared with parentage based average relatedness estimates, assuming that full-sibs and half-sibs were related by 0.50 and 0.25, respectively.

2.7 Effective population size

Contemporary effective population size (\( N_e \)) was estimated using the single sample linkage disequilibrium method (Hill, 1981; Waples, 2006; Waples and Do, 2010) as implemented in “NeEstimator (version 2.1, Do et al., 2014) under a random mating model. We chose the linkage disequilibrium model to infer effective population size and excluded singleton alleles from the dataset (lowest allele frequency used: 0.01).
3 Results

3.1 Genotyping results and null alleles

The attempt to genotype individuals before they reach the spat stage, i.e. using samples of hatchery produced larvae from 2019 (Supp. Tab. 1), was not successful as all 48 larval samples consistently displayed high degrees of missing data (average 8.6% missing loci). Further, as preliminary parental assignment analyses indicated low classification success, indicative of scoring error, all larvae were discarded from the parentage analysis.

With larvae removed, we genotyped a total of 492 individuals, 332 spats (30 from the natural settlement sample and 302 hatchery-reared spats) and 160 adults (108 from the wild samples and 52 from the four broodstocks). All adults passed the genotyping success criteria, however 13 hatchery-reared spats had more than 17.6% of missing data and were therefore excluded, resulting in 479 genotypes in total (Tab. 1). The proportion of missing data per locus in the retained individuals ranged from 0 to 33%, with an average missing data per locus of 1.76% for all samples cumulated. Evidence of null alleles was detected in four loci (Oed 165, OE-27, OEc-45 and OEc-46) out of the seventeen loci (Supp. Tab. 3), as indicated by significant heterozygote deficiencies and/or detection of null alleles with MICROCHECKER in the wild populations. The loci were retained but parentage analyses were done by increasing the expected genotype scoring error value from 0.5% to 0.7% for these four loci, which is the recommended approach under, respectively, low and moderate to high frequencies of null alleles (J. Wang Pers. Comm.).

3.2 Genetic diversity

Mean allelic richness, \( Ar \), estimates were similar for wild samples (5.265 and 5.253 for Løgstør and Nissum respectively), as was mean observed heterozygosity (\( Ho \), 0.796 and 0.793, respectively, Tab. 1). A statistically significant reduction in \( Ar \) was found between broodstock and spats in all hatchery setups (pairwise Wilcoxon signed-rank test \( p < 0.05 \)). Inbreeding coefficient, \( F_{st} \), values were negative for all hatchery-reared collections, ranging from \(-0.245 \) to \(-0.094 \), while broodstocks showed estimates ranging from 0.026 to 0.067.

3.3 Genetic differentiation

All pairwise \( F_{st} \) estimates between wild collections (Nissum, Løgstør, and natural settlement) were low, ranging from \(-0.004 \) to 0.002, corroborating the assumption that they were representative of a single local population (Supp. Fig. 3, Supp. Tab. 4). Pairwise \( F_{st} \)’s between hatchery-reared spat swarms ranged from 0.004 to 0.184. No significant differences between the wild population (Løgstør, Nissum) and the broodstocks was detected (unbounded lower confidence intervals, Supp. Tab. 4).

3.4 Parentage

Evaluation of the total number of sib-ships identified as a function of spat sample size showed that detection of all, or at least the majority, of full-sib families in each swarming event was attainable with genotyping of 30 offspring (Supp. Fig. 2). It indicates that our applied sample size of spat genotyped is sufficiently large to identify all the breeding pairs involved in each swarming event. All 289 spats were consistently assigned to two parents at \( p > 0.999 \) in both COLONY and Cervus (Supp. Tab. 5). Each swarming event was inferred to be produced by a single dam and multiple sires (Figs. 1b and 1c). The numbers of progeny varied between 1 and 44 in sires, and 44 and 99 in dams. Individual males exhibited strong reproductive skew, siring between 1 and 92% of the analysed offspring per swarming event. Across tanks, 65% of broodstock was inferred to produce at least one progeny. Within tanks, 30–66% of broodstock did not contribute to sampled spat. The two consecutive swarming events in the Large broodstock were produced by two different dams, and sired by, respectively, sixteen and nine males: of which four sired offspring in both events (Fig. 1c). Two instances of sex reversal were indicated in the Large broodstock tank (Fig. 1c). Thus, the dam of the first swarming event was inferred to sire one offspring in the second swarming event (i.e., female reverting to male), and a sire of one offspring in the first swarming event became the dam of the second swarming event (i.e., male reverting to female), after a period of 1 month in between the two swarming events. In these two offspring inferred to be the results of sex reversed parents, we took care to reassess hatchery sampling and laboratory labelling setups, as well as re-genotyped offspring (see above) to control for potential error. Parentage assignment probabilities were >0.99 for each of the two identified parents and <0.00 for all other broodstock, for both spat. When inspecting genotype data for these two spats and all potential parental candidates we found that mis-assignment of the correct parent would require an unlikely high occurrence of 9–15 point mutations or genotyping errors (average 11.7) per individual. In comparison, there were no genotype mismatches between the inferred sex reversed parents and their offspring. In the two small tanks with less than ten broodstock, respectively, two and one males sired the majority of spats, and the tank with ten broodstock showed a higher number of sire (six) (Fig. 1b).

3.5 Effective number of breeders

Estimates of the effective number of sires, \( N_{hs} \), ranged almost an order of magnitude (0.91–9.03) across swarming events, and were on average approximately half (55%) of the census number of contributing sires (Figs. 1b and 1c). When comparing total \( N_i \) with total broodstock size, the average ratio came out at 31% (ranging 14–46%) across swarming events. Interestingly the second swarming event of the Large broodstock (the “Large sp2”) had a lower number of effective breeders than the ‘Small 3’ broodstock’s only swarming event, respectively, 4.08 and 6.48 effective breeders (It is worth noting that ‘Small 3’ broodstock’s individuals were older with total fresh weight and shell length bigger than the other broodstocks, see Supp notes: Hatchery’s procedures, broodstock conditioning).

3.6 Relatedness

Marker-based relatedness estimates were on average high (>0.25) in all hatchery spat collections, and low and statistically non-significant from zero in all wild collections.
Relatedness estimated in the “Natural settlement” was slightly higher (0.02) than in the two other wild samples (statistically significant different, pairwise Wilcoxon signed-rank test $p < 0.05$). A post hoc sibship analysis with COLONY, and supported by COANCESTRY, identified five individuals as sibs, supporting that the natural settlement sample also included some related individuals (Fig. 2). All hatchery-produced spat samples were significantly different, except ‘Large sp1’ and ‘Small 3’ spats (Wilcoxon signed-rank test $p > 0.05$). Sib-ship based average relatedness estimates overall corresponded with marker-based estimates (Fig. 2).

3.7 Effective population size

$N_e$ point estimates in hatchery spats ranged from 3.1 to 26.1 per swarming event and were all bounded at the 95% confidence limits (95% confidence interval range 2.8–28.6, Tab. 1). Estimates for the two wild collections of adults came out as unbounded for both Løgstør and Nissum; indicative of a very large $N_e$. The $N_e$ estimate for the natural settlement was lower, estimated at 189.3, and with a relatively broad, but bounded, 95% confidence interval (121.0–412.2).

4 Discussion

Our study represents one of still few examples of genetic monitoring of hatchery-reared spats in *O. edulis*, providing insights into broodstock parentage contributions with unprecedented statistical accuracy. Prior parentage analyses in the species reported incomplete parentage assignment, likely due to insufficient marker resolution, coupled with technical error due to inconsistent amplification (null alleles) in microsatellite markers (Hedgecock et al., 2007; Lallias et al., 2010b). Our microsatellite marker assay can likely be successfully applied across *O. edulis* management and aquaculture scenarios, although it is noted that null-allele frequencies could vary among populations and strains (Van Oosterhout et al., 1991). Our results strengthen knowledge about transfer of genetic
variation from broodstock to spat sources produced under standard hatchery conditions. Across swarming events we found that the realised genetic variation in produced spat was consistently lower than expected from the census number of broodstock. Thus, average $N_b/N$ ratio was 0.31, and as low as 0.14 in one swarming event with 29 broodstock individuals in the tank. Except for average heterozygosity, all estimators of genetic diversity supported the notion that hatchery-reared spat showed reduced variation in comparison with their parental broodstock source. Although specific hatchery conditions, hereunder a suite of biotic and abiotic factors, likely have effect on reproductive outcomes, our results are in line with results from other genetic parentage studies testing natural spawning behaviour under hatchery conditions. Thus, Lallias et al. (2010b) used a single large ($n = 62$) broodstock to test parentage in six swarming events produced over 15 days. There, the majority of broodstock ($\sim70\%$) produced at least one offspring. However, individual swarming events exhibited $N_b/N$ ratios similar to ours (average $\sim0.28$, range 0.19–0.34). In our study, one broodstock (‘Large’), with 29 individuals produced two swarming events separated by one month, showing highly heterogeneous outcomes in terms of reproductive skew (respective $N_b$ estimated at 10 and 4). Moreover, a quarter of the broodstock sired offspring in both swarming events. This shows that although spat production based on multiple swarming events from the same broodstock is likely to increase genetic variation, there is still a degree of ‘genetic redundancy’ that would not have been experienced with separate broodstocks. Yet, it is general hatchery practice to produce spat from several swarming events from the same brood tank, and our results indicate that this practice may increase total genetic diversity through the facilitation of broader parental contribution. As expected, brood tanks with lower broodstock size ($n \leq 10$) generally produced spat with less total genetic variation and higher levels of relatedness. Nonetheless, for the ‘Small 3’ swarming event with ten broodstock, both $N_b$ and $N_e$ estimates exceeded those of ‘Large sp2’. The ‘Small 3’ broodstock was composed of larger (on average 11% longer) and heavier (on average 45% heavier) oysters compared to the other broodstocks, which may have increased their individual fecundity and lowered reproductive variance (see details Supp. notes: Hatchery’s procedures, broodstock conditioning). Whatever the cause, results underline the strong parentage variation and show that without genetic monitoring it is difficult to predict the amount of genetic variation represented in individual swarming events.

Our analyses supported that the two $O.\ edulis$ samples collected across $\sim100$ km in the Limfjorden belong to the same wild population. Although census population sizes show strong fluctuations in this area (Nielsen and Petersen, 2019), our study showed evidence of very large effective population sizes, indicative of lack of severe local sweeps, and no imminent risk of inbreeding depression. Changing habitat conditions were suggested to explain decreasing $N_e$ in local populations of Pacific Oyster $C.\ gigas$ (Hedgecock and Pudovkin, 2011). From an applied point of view, this indicates that collecting $O.\ edulis$ in the Limfjorden for broodstock composition in the hatchery can contribute a high genetic diversity that can support the new strategy of keeping a $B.\ free$ broodstock. However, our results also show that Ryman-Laikre effects are likely to affect natural populations through the skewed parental contributions of hatchery reared offspring if released back into the wild, and that these effects will be amplified if the same broodstock is used through consecutive breeding seasons. Ideally, new broodstock should be collected from the wild each breeding season to increase diversity and limit adaptation to hatchery environments (Lynch and O’Hely, 2001). However, for practical reasons, this may be difficult in a situation with $B.\ free$ infected natural populations where extensive screening and quarantine procedures are needed to secure disease-free broodstock. Consequently, it is recommended to maximize diversity in the $B.\ free$ broodstock through introducing new genetic diversity from the wild on a regular basis, in combination with monitoring of genetic diversity and relatedness in the hatchery to limit subsequent negative effects in natural populations.

An $N_e$ of 50 (or 100) is considered the minimum threshold for a cultured population, in order to minimise immediate risk of inbreeding depression (Frankham et al., 2014). In our case, all individual swarming events showed $N_e \ll 50$. Thus, in a restocking context, the estimated number of swarming events required for directed conservation releases would amount to either three tanks with $\sim30$ broodstock, or ten tanks with $\sim10$ broodstock, to meet a minimum criterion of $N_e = 50$. To maximise reproductive skew and maximize $N_e$ it is always preferable to employ the largest possible number of broodstock tanks. However, even if practical considerations limit tank number, broodstock management should incorporate strategies to maximize $N_e$ in stocking material to at least meet these minimum criteria. There is increased focus on the importance of expanding marine conservation efforts to include considerations of genetic resources, rather than simply focusing on maximising production (Grant et al., 2017). Throughout Europe, flat oyster brood tanks in hatcheries may vary in terms of individuals per broodstock. Furthermore, broodstock individuals may originate from a genetically diverse population or an inbred one. Therefore, the use of a high-powered tool to estimate parental contributions and population effective size in the hatcheries is needed to inform practices on a case-by-case basis.

Interestingly, the $N_e$ estimate for the ‘Natural settlement’ sample which was assumed to represent a discrete local swarming season, returned a bounded result that was more than one order of magnitude higher than estimates from hatchery samples. Spat collectors were placed in an open bay and although exposed to natural recruitment over a whole season, 15% of the sampled spat were identified to be siblings. This result attests both to the hugely different levels of genetic variation represented in a natural versus a hatchery swarming event, but also highlights that genetic variation associated with local natural settling events only describes a fraction of the genetic variation within the total population. These results further demonstrate that our method provides a tool to monitor potential local recruitment processes and decreases in effective population sizes in the wild, as well as in a hatchery context.

Two hatchery swarming events separated by one month, showed two instances of sex reversion. Sex change under hatchery settings has been observed at relatively low frequency under pair-mating breeding designs (Toro and Newkirk, 1990). The sex ratio of a sex-changing species is expected to be biased towards the ‘first sex’ (i.e. male in the protandrous $O.\ edulis$) leading to a reduction in $N_e$ in relation to $N$ (Coscia et al., 2016). Two broodstock out of 29 ($\sim7\%$) in a tank were inferred to reverse sex and we found no reason to suspect parentage assignment error to have affected this estimate. Sex ratio dynamics could thus have strong impact on parentage patterns
under hatchery conditions, but we emphasize that further studies are needed to determine the impact of sex change on genetic diversity in oyster spat production.

There is a consensus about the necessity for active and dynamic management actions in order to restore this once abundant species in European coastal waters (Matthiessen, 2008; Olsen, 1883; Thurstan et al., 2013), with the aim to simultaneously safe-guard ecosystem function and to improve access to low-carbon emission food resources. Regional flat oyster conservation projects are thus emerging throughout Europe (Colsoul et al., 2020; Fariñas-Franco et al., 2018; Pogoda et al., 2019; van den Brink et al., 2020; zu Ermgassen et al., 2020) strengthening the need for comprehensive information on the local genetic diversity of remnant populations, as well as for genetic monitoring of spat production methods. Loss of genetic diversity in *O. edulis* hatchery strains is an inherent consequence of a finite broodstock and increasing genetic diversity of spat is a central focus for restoration. Our results are aligned with this expectation and demonstrate that Ryman-Laikre effects (Ryman and Laikre, 1991; Waples et al., 2016) are likely to represent a real challenge in flat oyster restoration and conservation programs. While the fewest number of potential breeders did produce the lowest diversity in offspring, it is also clear that it may be difficult to predict the outcome of even controlled breeding set-ups in a hatchery, and that monitoring of genetic diversity may thus provide useful information for broodstock management. Here, we developed an easily applicable method to accurately estimate parentage, relatedness, and genetic variation in both wild and hatchery scenarios as a useful tool to control and implement new protocols in the hatchery.
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