Using 3D virtual surfaces to investigate molluscan shell shape
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Abstract – Noninvasive methods in shell shape variation may help to understand evolution, ecology, stress and role of molluscan in aquatic ecosystems. Imaging analysis is a suitable diagnostic tool in morphological studies to (1) evaluate the health status of investigated animals, and (2) monitor sea coastal habitats. We introduce the feasibility of the cone-beam computed tomography as an optimal technique for 3D surface scanning to obtain virtual valve surfaces of *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, and analyze them exploiting the geometric morphometric facilities. Statistical output revealed morphological differences between mussels coming from different extensive rearing systems highlighting how the entire valve surface contributed to discriminate between groups when we compared 2- and 3D analyses. Many factors drive the morphological differences observed in the valve shape variation between the two sites, such as geographical genetic differentiation, natural environmental effects and culture conditions. The simplicity of the proposed methodology avoids damage and handling of individuals, makes this approach useful for morphological data collection, and helps to detect detrimental agents for sea ecosystems by using molluscs.
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1 Introduction

Investigation of bivalves using new noninvasive methods may contribute to better understanding of their ecology, evolution, and roles in aquatic ecosystems, overall as indicators of detrimental perturbations (Nuñez et al. 2012; Tosti and Gallo 2012). In this context we emphasized the use of morphological deformities as a tool to detect the exposition of an organism to chemicals, since the morphological abnormalities seem to increase with the disturbance degree (Le Cadre and Debenay 2006; Scalici et al. 2015).

Among the diverse methods, radiological techniques have shown promise in monitoring and morphological fields, as demonstrated by their recent application to living organisms (e.g. Bock et al. 2001, 2002; Jasanoﬀ and Sun 2002; Mark et al. 2002; Wecker et al. 2002; Toussaint et al. 2005; Pouvreau et al. 2006; Golding and Jones 2007; Marzen et al. 2008), and fossils as well (e.g. Abel et al. 2012; Rahman et al. 2012; Adams et al. 2015).

In this work, we displayed, managed and analysed CBCT data from the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lamarck 1819) since it may act as a suitable tool to detect the environmental health in harvested ecosystems because of its intertidal habitat and close association with sediments (Bryan and Gibbs 1991). Indeed it is actually used as a biological model within the project “Systems Biology” (funded by Ministry of Education, University and Research, MIUR-PRIN, Italy), which is focused on environmental health status evaluation and potential recovery strategies for fisheries and/or aquaculture systems (Fasulo et al. 2015).

2 Material and methods

Briefly, our CBCT unit consists of a rotating gantry having (1) the fulcrum fixed within the center of the region of interest (i.e. field of view, FoV), (2) an X-ray scintillator layer (the X-ray source) at one extreme that directs a divergent cone-shaped beam of ionizing radiation through the FoV, and (3) a detector based on a large-area solid-state sensor panel at the opposite extreme. From 150 to 600 sequential 2D planar projection images of the FoV may be acquired (at certain deﬁned degree intervals) during a complete rotation. Once all the complete frame series has been acquired, the volume render allows the 3D surface to be displayed through integration and condensation of adjacent 2D images (virtual slices) into a
single object. The final data visualization may be either performed by the workstation associated with the CBCT unit, or otherwise reconstructed using other software, depending on the visual interpretation and statistical analyses required.

The CBCT data (uploaded as DICOM images) of *M. galloprovincialis* were processed using the image-processing package Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) and the Amira® 5.2 software package (Stalling et al. 2005).

In particular, the CBCT data were processed using first the image-processing package Fiji to perform a series of manipulations on each single 2D projection. The original volumetric data were first imported in Fiji (Fig. 1A) to reduce the FoV (green parallelepiped in Fig. 1B) by deleting all the empty exposures from the entire 2D projection series. In this way, we preserved only 2D projections containing image information on valves. It is also possible to eliminate artifacts or undesired objects from each 2D planar projection, and to save the new series of 2D projection data without noise that would produce a clean 3D-surface mesh. Then the new series of 2D projections can be uploaded into Amira, where each single slice can be visualized in the three main planes (Fig. 1C) and the investigated object displayed as a whole 3D reconstruction (Fig. 1D) or in cross sections (Fig. 1E) by applying the Iso-surface module. The latter module computes a surface within a three-dimensional scalar field with regular Cartesian coordinates, and give the chance to set the threshold to determine the value used for the surface computation. Finally, the extracted surface may be saved in .ply format and used for following analyses. All this procedure guarantees a better volume rendering, since original 2D projections with noises may disturb the volume rendering by Amira, within which the ExtractSurface module allow to further complete the imaging purification process.

Since Amira provides an efficient platform to associate the 3D image handling with recent morphological techniques (Fig. 1F) (see also Monnet et al. 2009), we utilized the geometric morphometric (GM) method. GM overcomes the limitations of the traditional morphometrics by preserving the geometric properties of the studied objects (Adams et al. 2004). The x-, y- and z-Cartesian coordinates of homologous landmarks (homologous points identified unambiguously from specimen to specimen) are used to describe the relative position of a part of the investigated object relative to another one (Zelditch et al. 2004). The x-, y- and z-Cartesian coordinates of homologous landmarks (homologous points identified unambiguously from specimen to specimen) are used to describe the relative position of a part of the investigated object relative to another one (Zelditch et al. 2004). Our approach was to fix 3D landmarks in Amira (Fig. 1F) by using the Landmarks editor routine (Create → Data → Landmarks) that allowed to display a landmark set as small spheres. Then we employed the semilandmark procedure of Serb et al. (2011), in which shape
Fig. 2. Position of 3D landmarks (large spots) and semilandmarks (small spots) on (A) dorsal and (B) right-lateral views of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* left valve; (C) fixation of 2D landmarks on the left valve internal surface. The 2D landmark map: (1) umbo, (2) ligament, (3) posterior adductor 1, (4) posterior adductor 2, (5) posterior adductor 3, (6) posterior adductor 4, (7) posterior border, (8) projection from landmark 2, (9) anterior adductor.

Fig. 3. Three scatter plots obtained by using the two first principal components (PCs) showing the pairwise comparisons of right valves of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* from the two aquaculture sites of Goro and Olbia. The graphical outputs resulted from the analyses of 2D landmarks (A), 3D landmarks only (B) and 3D landmarks plus semilandmarks (C). As for both A and B, the extreme configurations (negative in gray, and positive in black) for the main principal component (PC1) are shown on the right; as for C, the associated configuration on the right showed the most variable shell portions from negative to positive extreme.

3 Results and discussion

The approach proposed in this study produces a relatively detailed, accurate and realistic representation of the bivalve shells, used in the following analyses.

The ANOVA showed that measurement error was negligible for the landmark digitalization on valves from the two sites in all three approaches ($P < 0.001$). Comparison of the three methods using the selected landmarks was therefore justified.

We first performed exploratory ordination analysis of the principal components to visualize the patterns of variation within each sample. The visual outputs for the three approaches were the three scatter plots reported in Figure 3, showing the first two principal components that explained 36.89, 42.50 and 42.41% of the variance for approaches (1), (2) and (3), respectively; each of the remaining components of the multivariate analyses performed on the three approaches explained less than 5%. The Mahalanobis distances (Md) were calculated (with 10,000 permutation runs) on the shape matrices for each of the three approaches, to evaluate the statistical...
significance of the differences between the samples from the two sites. At a confidence level $\alpha$ of 0.05, $Md$ revealed no differences between the sites using (1) the 2D landmark fixation technique ($Md = 1.08$, $P = 0.219$), while significant differences emerged for both (2) 3D landmarks only ($Md = 1.73$, $P = 0.039$), and (3) 3D landmarks + semilandmarks ($Md = 3.62$, $P = 0.019$).

Although bivalves are an excellent group for the application of GM because of their hard shells (Rufino et al. 2006), some problems may emerge in the image analyses. Initially, GM studies on bivalves used contour-based methods applied to the external edge (e.g. Ferson et al. 1985; Innes and Bates 1999; Scholz and Hartman 2007). More recently, some studies have employed a combination of landmarks, contours and sliding semilandmarks (Perez et al. 2006). These techniques have been successfully applied to distinguish between similar species of bivalves (Rufino et al. 2006; Costa et al. 2008), between wild and cultured stocks (Valladares et al. 2010), fossil and modern taxa (Aguirre et al. 2006), different wild populations (Rufino et al. 2012), and juveniles and adults in ontogenetic investigations (Márquez et al. 2010). Nevertheless, it has to be recognized that 2D analyses do not make use of all the morphological information in 3D structures, such as shells. Difficulties arise analysing the external shell shape of bivalves because there are generally few points exploitable as landmarks, or structures with clear homology. Indeed, the shell geometry is difficult to capture by conventional descriptive approaches, which may poorly capture the subtle differences, limiting their relevance as happens in the 2D analysis. It is clear in the scatter plots that while 2D analysis did not provide a separation of the two sites, their overlap progressively decreased when we used the 3D approaches, particularly the method of Serb et al. (2011) in which the entire valve surface contributed to the analysis. The same differences did not emerge using a planar picture and emerged only partially with a 3D image in which only one point is fixed on the point of highest elevation to take account of the volume of the object. This confirms the relevance in using the three-dimensional geometry as a tool of great potential for the study of the anatomy of marine Mollusca. However, to date, morphological studies of molluscs by using the computed tomography approach integrated to geometric morphometric analyses remain rare, and under exploited (see Monnet et al. 2009).

Our approach provides a basis for the quantitative analysis of intraspecific morphological variation patterns, overall in taxa considered good environmental monitors. Indeed, the main aim was to propose the morphological alterations in the valve shell shape of M. galloprovincialis to detect how sea habitat features may affect both population and ecosystem health.

Many factors may drive the morphological differences observed in the valve shape variation between the two aquaculture sites, such as geographical genetic differentiation, natural environmental effects, and culture conditions. Although our sampling design included sites which differ in environmental features, the influence of multiple stressors cannot be neglected. Anyway, their study is beyond the scope of the present methodological demonstration. Although our study could not differentiate among the real sources of variation responsible for the observed patterns, these efforts support the value in using morphological markers as a useful early warning systems.

Here, we have shown an example of the efficacy of the application of CBCT associated with the GM technique on bivalve shells. The simplicity of the proposed methodology, based on noninvasive procedures (avoiding potential damage and handling of individuals), makes this approach a useful one for quantifying the mollusc shell geometry of specimens from different harvested habitats. It allows to observe the whole surface without destroying the sample, which is helpful when (1) working with type specimens of museums (used to define species), (2) when we have one or very few specimens, and/or (3) specimens have to be used for further analyses (e.g., ecotoxicological analyses on both shell and soft tissue).

The main emerging output is the evidence in using a potential fishery resource as a prognostic indicator of the habitat condition, and to propose a new tool for the exploration of scenarios and strategies for the conservation of aquatic biodiversity, aimed at ensuring sustainable and a high quality exploitation of aquatic living resources.
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