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Sex-based spatial segregation of adult bull sharks, *Carcharhinus leucas*, in the New Caledonian great lagoon
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Abstract – Conservation of threatened large sharks and management of shark-human interactions requires an understanding of shark occurrence and movement patterns. Here, we present the first catch, movement and behaviour data of adult bull sharks, *Carcharhinus leucas*, in New Caledonia. Amongst six adult *C. leucas* tagged with passive acoustic tags, four females were caught in coastal waters while males were only found at an isolated oceanic barrier coral reef over 100 km from the nearest river mouth. Two females were monitored in the southern New Caledonia lagoon for 707 and 208 days respectively and displayed classical transient behaviour and sporadic short-term residency around a coastal reef bay, with movements in and out a river detected prior to spring. Adult *C. leucas* in New Caledonia may develop a sex-based spatial segregation with an atypical presence of adult males in oceanic environments, probably influenced by the unique estuarine-marine continuum of the New Caledonian great lagoon.
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1 Introduction

Global declines in large shark populations have been documented with concerns for top-down effects on associated ecosystems (Baum et al. 2003; Myers and Worm 2003; Baum and Myers 2004). For many sharks, these pressures are exacerbated by slow growth, late onset of sexual maturity and low fecundity (Hoenig and Gruber 1990; Cortes 2000; Mollet and Cailliet 2002), making it increasingly important to identify the movements, habitat and behaviour of adult sharks for conservation and management of shark to human interactions. The bull shark, *Carcharhinus leucas*, is a large shark species with a cosmopolitan distribution, growing to 4 m (Compagno 2002; McCord and Lambeth 2009). It is also one of a few, euryhaline elasmobranchs that utilises a range of salinities throughout its life-cycle (Thorson 1971). Neonates occupy 6–12 ppt low saline nursery habitats (Thorson et al. 1973; Pillans et al. 2006; Heupel and Simpfendorfer 2008), juveniles occur in rivers and estuarine habitats (Simpfendorfer et al. 2005; Werry et al. 2011, 2012) and adults in nearshore marine habitats (Curtis et al. 2011). Adult males may remain offshore, while pregnant females may return to rivers to pup (Werry et al. 2011, 2012; Tillett et al. 2012). Recent studies have also shown the use of coastal habitats for older and larger bull sharks (Brunnschweiler and Baensch 2011; Tillett et al. 2011; Werry et al. 2011; Hammerschlag et al. 2012), but the literature examining the movement patterns of adult bull sharks is rare, especially regarding their behaviours away from shallow coastal areas. Whether more oceanic habitats should be considered as essential for this species is uncertain due to the paucity of data. Furthermore, as females rather than males return to rivers to pup, sex-based segregation may occur among adults of this species, however these patterns have not been investigated in many areas of the southwest Pacific, including New Caledonia. Despite its wide distribution, regulated and illegal fishing and habitat modification have directly resulted in a “Near-Threatened” global status for bull sharks on the IUCN Red List (Cavanagh et al. 2003; IUCN 2008).

Obtaining data on large adult sharks is limited by their natural low abundances, wide distribution, elusive behaviour and challenge associated with capture. The recent availability of passive acoustic telemetry however, has greatly advanced the ability to monitor shark behaviour in shallow reef and coastal environments (Lacroix and McCurdy 1996; Voegeli et al. 1998; Lacroix and Voegeli 2000; Heupel et al. 2006). This technology has been successfully used to determine the patterns of occupancy and movement of sharks in estuaries,
bays, nearshore and reef areas (Heupel and Hueter 2002; Heupel et al. 2004; Simpfendorfer et al. 2008; Yeiser et al. 2008; Werry et al. 2011). In conjunction with complementary techniques such as micro-chemistry, acoustic telemetry has also enabled quantification of ontogenetic transitions in habitat use by bull sharks (Werry et al. 2011). However, for adult bull sharks in New Caledonia, movement, occurrence patterns and behaviour remain largely unknown. The New Caledonian lagoon was included in 2008 within the UNESCO world heritage as a unique place, based on its comparatively immense surface area (22 175 km$^2$) on a global scale and the diversity of reef ecosystems. This diversity offers a unique complex for the detection of habitat specificity and movement patterns of sharks.

Investigating the movement, ecology and behaviour of bull sharks in different parts of the world is important for international, national and localised conservation strategies. For example, acoustic telemetry has demonstrated consistent patterns in strong site fidelity in different oceans of the world for the grey reef shark, *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*, including Ningaloo fringing reef (Speed et al. 2011), the Rowley Shoals coral atoll (Field et al. 2011), and Osprey Reef in the Coral Sea (Barnett et al. 2012), however this pattern does not hold true for grey reef sharks in the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia (Heupel et al. 2010). This example illustrates the need to determine movement and occurrence patterns of sharks in different areas of the globe for the effective localised management and conservation of the species. In this study the occurrence and movements of bull sharks in different habitats were examined and in so doing we investigated whether sex-based segregation occurs among adult bull sharks in New Caledonia.

### 2 Methods

New Caledonia consists of several large islands of which the largest “Grande Terre” is approximately 400 km by 50 km and is characterised by a barrier reef with interspersed channels between lagoon habitats and deep drop-offs (>1000 m) to open ocean environments (Fig. 1a). Channels and lagoons are typically 20 to 40 m in depth. The southern area of Grande Terre has a vast lagoon area typified by coral reefs, bommies and open sand and rubble sea floor and sparse deep water.
seagrass meadows with several creeks and rivers that discharge large amounts of freshwater. Prony Bay is the largest bay in this southern area, with a very high terrigenous influence based on several rivers and creeks (Fig. 1b). The north of Grande Terre comprises an extended lagoon enclosed by two large barrier reefs each of about 150 km in length and a small archipelago lies in the central area approximately 10 to 50 km from the barrier reefs on either side. The barrier encompasses several reef passages and a fragmented area at the very end of the lagoon (Fig. 1c). Mangrove lined river systems represent a several reef passages and a fragmented area at the very end of the barrier reefs on either side. The barrier encompasses several reef passages and a fragmented area at the very end of the lagoon (Fig. 1c). Mangrove lined river systems represent a

Twenty large shark surveys were undertaken in bay, lagoon, channel and reef edge habitats in New Caledonia from late 2008 to 2011 around Prony Bay and Belep archipelago (Fig. 1a,b,c). We used setlines baited with tuna heads that were checked at least every two hours. Captured sharks were maintained in a specially designed harness next to an anchored research vessel with the sharks head placed into the current (see Werry et al. 2012). Total length, sex and condition were recorded for each shark captured and adult bull sharks were surgically implanted in the perineal cavity with a VEMCO VCode V16 transmitter. Transmitters had a unique pulse series for each shark with randomly spaced transmission intervals from 40–80 s or 50–130 s, and a battery life of at least 24 or 28 months, respectively. The passive movements of the acoustically-tagged sharks and their duration of occupation of habitats were quantified over 30 months from July 2009 to November 2011 using an array of 9 VEMCO VR2/VR2W omni-directional acoustic receivers (Amirix Systems Inc., Nova Scotia, Canada) strategically deployed at various sites in Prony Bay and at passes in Belep (Fig. 1b,c). Six receivers were deployed in the southern lagoon of Grande Terre, one in upper reaches of Prony Bay at the entrance to the Carenage River, four at the reef edge in Woodin Channel and at the entrance to Prony Bay and a single receiver on a large bommie within the mid-southern lagoon (Fig. 1b). In addition, our study could benefit from the setting of several VR2W acoustic receivers deployed in the framework of a study on reef bony fishes on the western coast of Grande Terre (close to Bourail) (Fig. 1a). The three receivers in the northern lagoon were all deployed at passes in the barrier reef (Fig. 1c). Several rivers were also sporadically line fished (total fishing effort of 5 full days with small drum lines), in particular the Nera River and the Ouegoua River (North of Grande Terre) (Fig. 1a).

The maximum tag ranges, habitat complexity, and acoustic receiver site means that the presence of each tagged bull shark was documented within 600 m of the receiver. Tag range testing was done by towing a test tag away from the acoustic receiver until the rate of detections was less than 50%. Prior to deployment of acoustic receivers, each acoustic receiver was wrapped with duct tape and was attached to a navigation marker 5 m below mean low water mark or moored on concrete filled tyres on reef edges at 16 to 20 m (as per Otway and Ellis 2011). Retrieval, data download, replacement of batteries and redeployment of the acoustic receivers was done at 6 to 12 monthly intervals. Following data download, the detections were sorted by shark ID, site, date and time. This permitted the documentation of the length of time an individual was monitored based on release date until date of last detection, the number of days an individual was present during the monitoring period, timing and duration of occupation of particular sites, the movements of individuals among sites and the presence of diurnal patterns. The “proportion of days monitored/days” was calculated as the percentage of days with a minimum of one detection over the total monitoring period. Significant differences in proportion of detections between night and day were determined using Chi-square tests in order to determine a potential pattern of movements based on nycthemeral rhythms.

3 Results

A total fishing effort of 24 days (with an average of 4 drumlines per day) was deployed between October 2008 and November 2011. It allowed the capture of eight adult bull sharks, and the tagging of six animals, four females and two males respectively (Table 1). One female (2.6 m TL) and one male (approx. 2.6 m TL) were found dead on the hook, in spite of the careful monitoring of the lines.

Interestingly, no adult male bull sharks were captured within bay or lagoon habitats. All adult males were captured in the Northern Province in March 2010 within minutes of each other on the same day and sampling site at the interface with the open ocean (<1500 m deep) and barrier reef (Table 1). This one-time observation of adult male bull sharks occurred at a site over 100 km from the first large river mouth of “Grande Terre” (Fig. 1a,c). During this capture and tagging effort, one large bull shark (#2.6 m TL based on head width) was also consumed by other large sharks at this aggregation site (Clua and Werry unpublished data). Further fishing efforts in 2011 at the same location, but during a different period of the year (i.e., November), resulted in no further capture of bull sharks. VR2W acoustic receivers deployed on the ocean side of this site directly after the male bull sharks were tagged and released in 2010 had no detections from 2010 to 2011, indicating all the tagged sharks probably left the area directly after tagging and did not return. The two male bull sharks tagged in the north were also not detected on other arrays in the southern lagoon or along the west coast of New Caledonia (Bourail area).

In contrast, four adult female bull sharks were only caught in Prony bay and close to Belep Island well within the “Grande Terre” lagoon and close (within several km’s) to land (Table 1). Females tagged with acoustic tags at Prony bay showed variable movement patterns, with a total of 100 766 detections between July 2009 and November 2011 (Fig. 2, Table 1). One 3 m female was also detected moving into the Carenage River flowing into Prony Bay in spring prior to the pupping period suggested by Werry et al. (2012), although occurrence was predominantly in the Woodin channel (Fig. 3). Subsequent fishing efforts in the numerous rivers along the west coast of New Caledonia revealed the presence of several small juvenile bull sharks, both male and female, in the Ouegoua and Nera rivers (Fig. 1, Table 1). In 2011, this adult...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontogenetic stage</th>
<th>Capture Location</th>
<th>Capture site</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Capture period</th>
<th>Distance (m) to Grande Terre</th>
<th>Release date</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Total Length (cm)</th>
<th>Acoustic tag id</th>
<th>No. of days monitored</th>
<th>No. of days detected</th>
<th>Night time detections</th>
<th>Day time detections</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13/01/2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>8/07/2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 (10.6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>9/07/2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>90 (12.6%)</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>17.3 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>30/01/2010</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>55406</td>
<td>206 (99%)</td>
<td>63740</td>
<td>36216</td>
<td>7579 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Barrier Reef</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>7/03/2010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>64966</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Barrier Reef</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>7/03/2010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>64966</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A*</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>10/03/2010</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>64965</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27/12/2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10/07/2010</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10/07/2010</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Temporal occurrence of three adult female bull sharks with acoustic tags in Prony bay, southern New Caledonia (see Fig. 1 a for location of the acoustic receivers).

Fig. 3. Individual patterns of movement for adult female bull shark ID 54342 tagged with an acoustic tag in Prony bay, southern New Caledonia (see Fig. 1a for location of the acoustic receivers).
and accounted for 99,956 of the detections with significantly more detections during the night.

4 Discussion

Bull sharks are generally considered shallow water coastal species. The unusual occurrence of large adult male bull sharks at a remote coral reef and adjacent to deep oceanic waters highlights the variability in habitat use of this species as adults. Data on adult bull sharks is scant and the importance of oceanic habitats for the species is poorly understood. While our data set is small it does reveal very interesting and possibly new insights in adult bull shark occurrence patterns. Many of the passes in the northern lagoon barrier reef are important areas for large Malabar groper, *Epinephelus malabaricus*, spawning aggregations, providing potential seasonally abundant food sources for adult bull sharks (Chauvet unpublished data). However, our underwater observations, after tag and release of the adult male bull sharks, did not reveal the presence of grooper aggregations suggesting other drivers for bull shark occurrence at this location. Besides the hypothesis linked to spawning aggregations as site-specific feeding opportunities for the adult bull sharks, the overall species diversity and very high biomass (>250 g m\(^{-2}\)) of teleost fishes encountered in the northern lagoon and particularly in the barrier reef passages (Letourneau et al. 2000), could be attractive enough as a motivating food source for male bull sharks to use the outer barrier reef. Overlap with bull shark movement and their prey has been observed in recent satellite telemetry studies. For example, the core area of bull shark activity found within the northwestern area of Florida Bay, USA, was likely driven by the high abundance of teleost prey concentrated there (Hammerschlag et al. 2012). In addition, by conducting shark and fish surveys throughout Florida Bay, Torres et al. (2006) found that the abundance of seven species of sharks (including bulls) in the northwestern area of Florida Bay was highly correlated with the abundance of 45 teleost species. This hypothesis is however mitigated by Speed et al. (2010) who suggest that habitat specificity for sharks is more often correlated with environmental conditions such as depth, salinity, and substratum rather than prey availability, although recognizing migration patterns are often driven by prey and mating requirements.

The subsequent absence of male bull shark detections at this remote location also suggests the tagged sharks moved away from the area and did not return in the following year. Hence the initial presence of adult male bull sharks at this location may have also been due to an unusual anomaly driven by a shift in normal environmental conditions such as water currents and temperature rather than aggregations of prey such as groupers. Alternatively, male bull sharks may migrate to feeding hotspots using random prey search patterns similar to that of adult tiger sharks (Meyer et al. 2009), switching their movement patterns and foraging strategies to take advantage of different prey before returning to shallower coastal areas frequented by females in search of mates. For the period of our study, our data indicated no capture of adult male bull sharks in shallow coastal areas in New Caledonia, although evidence strongly shows that they occur in shallow coastal areas in other regions of the world (Brunnschweiler and Barnett 2013). The inclusion of more oceanic habitat by adult bull sharks may also be a strategic response to reduce exposure to anthropogenic impacts including reduced water quality, pollution from mining and urban runoff, reductions in their prey and habitat modifications tied to freshwater environments and shallow nearshore areas (Werry et al. 2011, 2012; Curtis et al. 2013). In addition, this atypical location of adult male animals of a species usually attached to coastal ecosystems, might be facilitated by the specificities of the New Caledonian great lagoon. The high variety of adjacent reef ecosystems and the size of the greatest closed lagoon of the world, constitutes a vast estuarine-marine continuum which may lead this species to use a wider range of habitats without having to cruise in the open ocean.

The capture of large adult female bull sharks in shallow coastal areas is consistent with other studies (e.g., Curtis et al. 2011; Werry et al. 2011). Moreover, while our sample sizes are small, our data suggests a possible trend towards sex-based segregation among adult bull sharks in New Caledonia. Sex-based aggregations and spatial segregation of sharks has been observed in numerous pelagic shark species, including scalloped hammerhead, *Sphyrna lewini* (Klimley 1987; Noriega et al. 2011), short-fin mako, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, blue shark, *Prionace glauca* (Mucientes et al. 2009) and coastal species such as the blacktip, *Carcharhinus melanopterus* (Mourier et al. 2013). Segregation appeared to not reflect prey, sea surface temperature, primary productivity or temporal synchronous movements of the sexes at the regional scale, but was rather the result of spatial effects for *I. oxyrinchus*. Alternatively, *Sphyrna lewini* females segregate from males by moving to offshore habitat to feed on different, more energy-rich prey which enables increased growth rates, necessary to support large, well-developed embryos (Klimley 1987). Furthermore, courtship and mating in sharks is highly aggressive where males inflict serious bite wounds on females (Stevens 1974). Mucientes et al. (2009) suggest sexual segregation for some shark species may be a strategy to increase female fitness by reduced mating. Based on the example of a population of blacktip sharks *Carcharhinus melanopterus* in Eastern Australia that exhibit a strong sex-based spatial segregation (Chin et al. 2013), and given the existence of intra-specific cannibalism amongst bull sharks (Snelsor et al. 1984), bull sharks in New Caledonia may also use it as a way to facilitate reproductive and recruitment success.

Previous studies suggest some occurrence of large bull sharks in Prony Bay. For example, Clua et al. (2013) observed adult bull sharks as the shark species responsible for the killing of an exhausted blue whale calf after entering this same area. Fishing efforts in the numerous rivers along the west coast of New Caledonia revealed several small juvenile bull sharks providing evidence that localised pupping occurs which is consistent with other studies showing female’s return to rivers or estuaries, probably for pupping (Tillet et al. 2011; Werry et al. 2011). Females may also remain close to rivers for feeding purposes but display divergent behaviours. We indeed observed vastly different patterns of movement across the tagged sharks, which maybe a means to better utilise coastal resources. While our sample size will need to be significantly increased to properly test this hypothesis,
Brunnschweiler et al. (2010) for example observed a similar pattern of long-term (years) regular occurrence of a limited number of adult bull sharks at a site in Fiji with individual adults that were more “transient” appearing infrequently or others more frequent in their occurrence on both short (days) and long-term (year) periods. Our sharks displayed both these phenomena. For example, one female adult bull shark (ID 54342) displayed ongoing occurrence “short-term residency” as opposed to the “transitory” behaviour of the second adult female bull shark (ID 54343). The emancipated shark is an anomaly that produced almost continuous detections for a period of six months suggesting this animal may have been deceased or consumed by conspecifics or other large sharks due to its condition or remained within a very small defined area with limited movement. Most bull sharks will consume fresh bait, rather than old rotten bait which is more frequently consumed by tiger sharks who are true generalists (Matich et al. 2011). The poor condition of this bull shark, most likely due to injury from embedded fishing line over its body, and the fact it consumed rotten bait all suggest this shark may not have had indeed a normal behaviour.

5 Conclusion

Given the global paucity of data for large sharks in general, further research to address the movement patterns of bull sharks should be a high priority. Firstly, because this species represents a potential threat to humans along with the tiger shark Galeocerdo cuvier and the white shark Carcharodon carcharias in New Caledonia (Clua and Séret 2010) and around the world. Secondly, because this species plays a critical role in coastal ecosystems and requires conservation measures (Werry et al. 2012; Froeschke et al. 2013). This is particularly important as large sharks move vast distances and hence are vulnerable to fishing pressures through increased chance for encounters with fisheries more often. Increasing the sample size of tagged bull sharks would enable verification of the patterns of coastal habitat use observed in females in the southern lagoon compared to the apparent transitory and more oceanic behaviour of males in the outer northern barrier reef areas. Conservation and management strategies need to consider adult male and female bull sharks appear to occur in vastly different habitats and that their movements and fidelity may differ based on sex in New Caledonia. Adult female bull sharks in the southern New Caledonian lagoon have apparent fidelity to the shallow coastal areas, however the extent of their movement from these areas was not able to be determined. Given the low catch rates of adult bull sharks in our study despite widespread fishing efforts, satellite tagging studies of both male and female adult bull sharks may provide a more effective means to investigate the extent of male and female movement in New Caledonian waters (e.g., Hammerschlag et al. 2012).
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